

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,707	06/24/2003	Kimio Nagasaka	116035	7535
25944 75	590 04/12/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			KIANNI, KAVEH C	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2883	
			DATE MAILED: 04/12/2009	DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>			
Office Action Summan		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del> ++</del>			
		10/601,707	NAGASAKA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kianni C. Kaveh	2833				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the prov	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed  rs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication  O (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	January 2005					
,—		nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the men							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-35</u> is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-11</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on 24 June 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	a) accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	<b>)</b> .			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the Copies	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P  6) Other:					
iape		o) 🔲 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/601,707

Art Unit: 2833

• Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-11 in response/amendment submitted on 1/13/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that search and the examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the process of making a transceiver cited in claims 1-11 can be made with other optical components such as an optical connector for mounting the optical plug and/or transceiver rather than through an optical socket as stated in process invention group II and/or III. Thus, the search requires for group I, claims 1-11, does not require for Group II and/or III and thus the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Page 2

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 4 and 6 recites the limitation 'the optical elements' in 1<sup>st</sup> –7th lines. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Correction is required.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if they any of the claims no longer rejected under 34USC 112, and be

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 4, as stated above, once corrected would be allowable because the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious with one of the lenses being disposed on the other surface of the substrate in correspondence with the location of the optical element and another lens being disposed near an end of the optical socket so as to oppose the optical element in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim.

Claim 5 is allowable because the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the light emitter and the light receiver being disposed on one surface of the substrate, and the first and second light-condensing devices and the optical socket being disposed on the other surface of the substrate, with the first and second light-condensing devices being disposed on the other surface of the substrate in correspondence with the locations of the light emitter and the light receiver, respectively, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim.

Claim 6, as stated above, once corrected would be allowable because the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the first and second lenses being disposed so that one of the first and second lenses is disposed on the other surface of the substrate in correspondence with the location of one of the optical elements and the other of the first and second lenses is disposed near an end of

the optical socket so as to oppose the one of the optical elements in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
  - This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buchter (US 6536957).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Buchter teaches an optical transceiver for use with an optical plug disposed at one end of an optical fiber (shown in at least fig. 1 and/or 2, also abstract), comprising: an optical socket 31 to mount the optical plug 15; a lens 127; an optical element (143 and/or 113) to perform at least one of emitting light in accordance with a supplied electrical signal, and generating an electrical signal in accordance with a received light signal (see items 143/113); and a light-transmissive

substrate (see substrate 122 and/or 124) to support the optical socket 31, the lens, and the optical element so that the optical fiber, the lens, and the optical element are aligned on an optical axis of the optical transceiver (shown in at least fig. 2, 3 and 7, wherein the optical element, such as 143/113, that the optical fiber 13, the lens 127, are aligned on an optical axis, any direction such as x and/or y axis of the optical transceiver).

However, Buchter does not explicitly teach wherein the above lens is a 'light-condensing device' and that the substrate being a glass substrate. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made to produce the above transparent substrate a glass which is obviously transparent since such modification/choice does not have bearing in the function of invention and it is conventional and also it is well-known to those of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made that a lens used as a focusing device is known as a light condenser, as admitted by the applicant, since such a device would provide communication links in an integrated optical transceiver system (see col. 1, 1<sup>st</sup> -3<sup>rd</sup> parag.).

 The statements advanced in claims, above, as to the applicability and disclosure of Buchter are incorporated herein as follows.

Regarding claim 2, Buchter teaches an optical transceiver for use with an optical plug that holds one end of a first optical fiber and one end of a second optical fiber (shown in at least fig. 1 and/or 2, also abstract), comprising:

an optical socket 31 to mount the optical plug 15; first and second optical lenses 127; a light emitter 143 to emit light in accordance with a supplied electrical signal; a light receiver 113 to generate an electrical signal in accordance with a received light signal; and a light-transmissive substrate (see substrate 122 and/or 124) to support the optical socket 31, the first and second optical lenses 127, the light emitter, and the light receiver (shown in at least fig. 2, 3 and 7 item substrate supports all socket 31, the lenses 127, the light emitter, and the light receive);

so that the first optical fiber 13a, the first lens, and the light emitter are aligned on a optical axis of the optical transceiver and so that the second optical fiber 13b, the second lens, and the light receiver are aligned on optical axis of the optical transceiver (shown in at least figures 2-7, items first and second light emitting sources, such as array 143, light receivers 113a,b,..n, lenses, such as array 161 are aligned on optical axis of the transceiver).

However, Buchter does not explicitly teach wherein the above alignment is such that the first optical fiber, the first lens, and the light emitter are aligned on a first optical axis of the optical transceiver and so that the second optical fiber, the second lens, and the light receiver are aligned on optical axis of the optical transceiver. It is obvious/well-known to those of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made that as shown in at least figures 2-7, items first and second light emitting sources, such as array 143,

light receivers 113a,b,..n, lenses, such as array 161 are aligned two dimensionally in X and Y optical axis of the transceiver, in which the optical axis need not to be necessarily perpendicular to each other, and thus the above optical elements are aligned in the first and second optical axis of the transceiver, since such optical configuration would provide communication links in an integrated optical transceiver system (see col. 1, 1<sup>st</sup> -3<sup>rd</sup> parag.).

Regarding claim 3 and 9-11, Butcher further teaches the optical element being disposed on one surface of the substrate, and the lens and the optical socket being disposed on the other surface of the substrate in correspondence with the location of the optical element (see at least fig. 2 and 7, item optical element such as 113 is located in one side of the substrate and the lens and the optical socket are on the other side of the substrate); the optical socket being joined to the substrate (see fig. 2, items 31 and 101); the lens being any one of a refractive lens a Fresnel lens, and a Selfoc lens (see fig. 7, item 165. Note also that it is matter of choice to choose any of the above lenses), at least one of the optical element and the light emitter being a surface emitting laser (see surface mounted emitters such as 135 and or receivers 113b).

Claims 1 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pommer et al. (US 20030201462).

Regarding claims 1, 7 and 9-11, Pommer teaches an optical for use with an optical plug disposed at one end of an optical fiber (shown in at least fig. 17, see

abstract wherein a system of transmitting and receiving light is a transceiver), comprising: an optical socket to mount the optical plug (shown in fig. 17, item socket holding the substrate board and see at least parag. 0274); a lens (see parag. 0260); an optical element to perform at least one of emitting light in accordance with a supplied electrical signal, and generating an electrical signal in accordance with a received light signal (see at least parag. 0084); and a light-transmissive/glass substrate (see parag. 0280) to support the optical socket, the lens, and the optical element so that the optical fiber, the lens, and the optical element are aligned on an optical axis of the optical transceiver (shown in at least fig. 17 in which all optical elements are in an optical axis such x or y axis).

However, Pommer does not explicitly teach wherein the above lens is a 'light-condensing device'. it is conventional and also it is well-known to those of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made that a lens used as a focusing device is known as a light condenser, as admitted by the applicant, since such a device would provide communication links in an integrated optical transceiver system (see col. 1, 1<sup>st</sup> -3<sup>rd</sup> parag.).

Regarding claim 8, Pommer further teaches wherein the substrate having a plurality of guide holes, and the optical socket having a plurality of guide pins that are disposed in the respective guide holes in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim (see at least parag. 0313 and 0316).

Application/Control Number: 10/601,707

Art Unit: 2833

Page 9

#### Citation of Relevant Prior Art

Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In accordance with MPEP 707.05 the following references are pertinent in rejection of this application since they provide substantially the same information disclosure as this patent does.

These references are:

Li 20030048998

Zhou 6588949

Colvin, Jr. 6330464

Kato 5424573

Kambo 4876446

Frederiksen 4188708

These references are cited herein to show the relevance of the apparatus/methods taught within these references as prior art.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to K. Cyrus Kianni whose telephone number is (571) 272-2417.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached at (571) 272-2415.

#### Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

K. Cyrus Kianni Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2883

March 28, 2005